Pave recently returned home to Hawaii after being on the Mainland. I chose not return to O'ahu but rather decided to move to Hawaii Island. and specifically Hawaiian Paradise Park, because I wanted to be in the country rather than the city. I would rather not see the development of a shopping center. It was not the intent of the Watamull's to have that type of development in the Park, certainly not on the scale proposed by the Land Use Committee for the 31-acre controlled by the ownership corp, HPPOA.

It is not clear to me why two (2) committees are in direct conflict with each other regarding the 31-acre parcel. The Cultural Preservation Committee on the other hand is working toward preserving the land. I understand that in 1985 a recommendation was made to the BOD to perform a formal Archaeological Inventory Survey of the parcel. This has not been done in all these years and should be done! It seems to me that these two committee's should be cooperating/collaborating with each other.

I strongly support the preservation of the 31-acre site for cultural and archaeological preservation reasons. I encourage the HPPOA BOD voting to conduct a formal Archaeological Inventory Survey.

If funding is an issue, then it is my opinion that ARTICLE VIII SECTION 8 (p) of the HPPOA ByLaws be put on the next board meeting agenda and voted for to provide the vehicle to fund a formal Archaeological Inventory Survey under the Cultural Preservation Committee as the overseer of the project.

Respectfully Submitted,

Sandee Maeaa

(Naniali'i)

808300-0240

sandeemaeda 11 Comail.com